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## Best Linear Unbiased Estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{b}=x+\zeta^{b}  \tag{1}\\
& y=H x+\varepsilon \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

with $E\left(\varsigma^{b}\right)=0, E(\varepsilon)=0, E\left(\varsigma^{b} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=0($ not restrictive $), E\left(\varsigma^{b} \varsigma^{b T}\right)=P^{b}, E\left(\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=R$

Best Linear Unbiased Estimate (BLUE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{a}=x^{b}+P^{b} H^{\mathrm{T}}\left[H P^{b} H^{\mathrm{T}}+R\right]^{-1}\left(y-H x^{b}\right) \\
& P^{a}=P^{b}-P^{b} H^{\mathrm{T}}\left[H P^{b} H^{\mathrm{T}}+R\right]^{-1} H P^{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Variational form. BLUE $x^{a}$ minimizes following scalar objective function, defined on state space

```
\xi\inS }
```

- $\quad J(\xi)=(1 / 2)\left(x^{b}-\xi\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left[P^{b}\right]^{-1}\left(x^{b}-\xi\right)+(1 / 2)(y-H \xi)^{\mathrm{T}} R^{-1}(y-H \xi)$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
= & J_{b} & + & J_{o}
\end{array}
$$

$P^{a}$ is inverse of matrix of second derivatives (hessian) of function $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$

Variational approach can easily be extended to time dimension.

Suppose for instance available data consist of

- Background estimate at time 0

$$
x_{0}^{b}=x_{0}+\xi_{0}^{b} \quad E\left(\zeta_{0}^{b} \xi_{0}^{b \mathrm{~T}}\right)=P_{0}{ }^{b}
$$

- Observations at times $k=0, \ldots, K$

$$
y_{k}=H_{k} x_{k}+\varepsilon_{k} \quad E\left(\varepsilon_{k} \varepsilon_{j}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=R_{k} \delta_{k j}
$$

- Model (supposed for the time being to be exact)

$$
x_{k+1}=M_{k} x_{k} \quad k=0, \ldots, K-1
$$

Errors assumed to be unbiased and uncorrelated in time, $H_{k}$ and $M_{k}$ linear

Then objective function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{0} \in S \rightarrow \\
& \qquad \mathcal{J}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=(1 / 2)\left(x_{0}{ }^{b}-\xi_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left[P_{0}{ }^{b}\right]^{-1}\left(x_{0}{ }^{b}-\xi_{0}\right)+(1 / 2) \Sigma_{k}\left[y_{k}-H_{k} \xi_{k}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} R_{k}^{-1}\left[y_{k}-H_{k} \xi_{k}\right] \\
& \text { subject to } \xi_{k+1}=M_{k} \xi_{k}, \quad k=0, \ldots, K-1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{J}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=(1 / 2)\left(x_{0}{ }^{b}-\xi_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left[P_{0}{ }^{b}\right]^{-1}\left(x_{0}{ }^{b}-\xi_{0}\right)+(1 / 2) \Sigma_{k}\left[y_{k}-H_{k} \xi_{k}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} R_{k}^{-1}\left[y_{k}-H_{k} \xi_{k}\right]
$$

Background is not necessary, if observations are in sufficient number to overdetermine the problem. Nor is strict linearity.

How to minimize objective function with respect to initial state $u=\xi_{0}$ ( $u$ is called the control variable of the problem)?

Use iterative minimization algorithm, each step of which requires the explicit knowledge of the local gradient $\nabla_{u} \mathfrak{J} \equiv\left(\partial \mathfrak{J} / \partial u_{i}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{J}$ with respect to $u$.

How to numerically compute the gradient $\nabla_{u} \mathcal{J}$ ?

Direct perturbation, in order to obtain partial derivatives $\partial \mathcal{J} / \partial u_{i}$ by finite differences ? That would require as many explicit computations of the objective function $\mathfrak{J}$ as there are components in $u$. Practically impossible.

Gradient computed by adjoint method.

## Adjoint Method

Input vector $\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{i}\right), \operatorname{dim} \boldsymbol{u}=n$
Numerical process, implemented on computer (e. g. integration of numerical model)

$$
u \rightarrow v=G(u)
$$

- $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{j}\right)$ is output vector, $\operatorname{dim} \boldsymbol{v}=m$
- Perturbation $\delta \boldsymbol{u}=\left(\delta u_{i}\right)$ of input. Resulting first-order perturbation on $\boldsymbol{v}$

$$
\text { - } \delta v_{j}=\Sigma_{i}\left(\partial v_{j} / \partial u_{i}\right) \delta u_{i}
$$

- or, in matrix form

$$
\delta v=G^{\prime} \delta u
$$

- where $\boldsymbol{G}^{\prime} \equiv\left(\partial v_{j} / \partial u_{i}\right)$ is local matrix of partial derivatives, or jacobian matrix, of G.

Adjoint Method (continued 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta v=G^{\prime} \delta u \tag{D}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Scalar function of output

$$
\mathcal{J}(v)=\mathcal{J}[G(u)]
$$

Gradient $\nabla_{u} \mathcal{J}$ of $\mathfrak{J}$ with respect to input $\boldsymbol{u}$ ?
'Chain rule'

$$
\partial \mathfrak{J} / \partial u_{i}=\Sigma_{j} \partial \mathfrak{J} / \partial v_{j}\left(\partial v_{j} / \partial u_{i}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { - } \quad \nabla_{u} \mathcal{J}=G^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla_{v} \mathcal{J} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Adjoint Method (continued 2)

- $\boldsymbol{G}$ is the composition of a number of successive steps

$$
\boldsymbol{G}=\boldsymbol{G}_{N} \circ \ldots \circ \boldsymbol{G}_{2} \circ \boldsymbol{G}_{1}
$$

'Chain rule’

$$
\boldsymbol{G}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{G}_{N}{ }^{\prime} \ldots \boldsymbol{G}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{G}_{1}^{\prime}
$$

Transpose

$$
\boldsymbol{G}^{, \mathrm{T}}=\boldsymbol{G}_{1}{ }^{, \mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_{2}{ }^{, \mathrm{T}} \ldots \boldsymbol{G}_{N}{ }^{, \mathrm{T}}
$$

Transpose, or adjoint, computations are performed in reversed order of direct computations.
If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is nonlinear, local jacobian $\boldsymbol{G}^{\prime}$ depends on local value of input $\boldsymbol{u}$. Any quantity which is an argument of a nonlinear operation in the direct computation will be used again in the adjoint computation. It must be kept in memory from the direct computation (or else be recomputed again in the course of the adjoint computation).

If everything is kept in memory, total operation count of adjoint computation is at most 4 times operation count of direct computation (in practice about 2).

## Adjoint Approach

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{J}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=(1 / 2)\left(x_{0}{ }^{b}-\xi_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left[P_{0}{ }^{b}\right]^{-1}\left(x_{0}{ }^{b}-\xi_{0}\right)+(1 / 2) \Sigma_{k}\left[y_{k}-H_{k} \xi_{k}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} R_{k}^{-1}\left[y_{k}-H_{k} \xi_{k}\right] \\
& \quad \text { subject to } \xi_{k+1}=M_{k} \xi_{k}, \quad k=0, \ldots, K-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Control variable $\quad \xi_{0}=\boldsymbol{u}$

Adjoint equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{K}=H_{K}^{\mathrm{T}} R_{K}^{-1}\left[H_{K} \xi_{K}-y_{K}\right] \\
& \lambda_{k}=M_{k}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \lambda_{k+1}+H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} R_{k}^{-1}\left[H_{k} \xi_{k}-y_{k}\right] \\
& \lambda_{0}=M_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \lambda_{1}+H_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} R_{0}^{-1}\left[H_{0} \xi_{0}-y_{0}\right]+\left[P_{0}{ }^{b}\right]^{-1}\left(\xi_{0}-x_{0}{ }^{b}\right)
\end{aligned} \quad k=K-1, \ldots, 1
$$

Result of direct integration $\left(\xi_{k}\right)$, which appears in quadratic terms in expression of objective function, must be kept in memory from direct integration.

## Adjoint Approach (continued 2)

## Nonlinearities?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{J}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=(1 / 2)\left(x_{0}{ }^{b}-\xi_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left[P_{0}{ }^{b}\right]^{-1}\left(x_{0}{ }^{b}-\xi_{0}\right)+(1 / 2) \Sigma_{k}\left[y_{k}-H_{k}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{T}} R_{k}^{-1}\left[y_{k}-H_{k}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right] \\
& \text { subject to } \xi_{k+1}=M_{k}\left(\xi_{k}\right), k=0, \ldots, K-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Control variable $\quad \xi_{0}=\boldsymbol{u}$

Adjoint equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{K}= \\
& H_{K}{ }^{\text {TT }} R_{K}{ }^{-1}\left[H_{K}\left(\xi_{K}\right)-y_{K}\right] \\
& \lambda_{k}=M_{k}{ }^{\text {'T }} \lambda_{k+1}+H_{k}{ }^{\text {'T }} R_{k}{ }^{-1}\left[H_{k}\left(\xi_{k}\right)-y_{k}\right] \quad k=K-1, \ldots, 1 \\
& \lambda_{0}=M_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \lambda_{1}+H_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} R_{0}{ }^{-1}\left[H_{0}\left(\xi_{0}\right)-y_{0}\right]+\left[P_{0}{ }^{b}\right]^{-1}\left(\xi_{0}-x_{0}{ }^{b}\right) \\
& \nabla_{u} J=\lambda_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Not approximate (it gives the exact gradient $\nabla_{l} \mathfrak{J}$ ), and really used as described here.


Temporal evolution of the $500-\mathrm{hPa}$ geopotential autocorrelation with respect to point located at 45 N , 35 W . From top to bottom: initial time, 6- and 24-hour range. Contour interval 0.1. After F. Bouttier.

(a) 500FIG. I. Background fiedds pressure for 16 October. The fields for 150 Thter are rodel forecast from the initial conditions. Contour intervals are 80 m and 5 hPa .

Thépaut et al., 1993, Mon. Wea. Rev., 121, 3393-3414


Analysis increments in a 3D-Var corresponding to a height observation at the 250hPa pressure level (no temporal evolution of background error covariance matrix)

Thépaut et al., 1993, Mon. Wea. Rev., 121, 3393-3414


Same as before, but at the end of a $24-\mathrm{hr} 4 \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Var}$


Analysis increments in a 3D-Var corresponding to a $u$-component wind observation at the 1000-hPa pressure level (no temporal evolution of background error covariance matrix)

Thépaut et al., 1993, Mon. Wea. Rev., 121, 3393-3414


Same as before, but at the end of a 24-hr 4D-Var
Thépaut et al., 1993, Mon. Wea. Rev., 121, 3393-3414

3-day forecast from 3D-Var analysis


3D-Var verifying analysis


3-day forecast from 4D-Var analysis


4D-Var verifying analysis


ECMWF, Results on one FASTEX case (1997)

Strong Constraint $4 D$-Var is now used operationally at several meteorological centres (Météo-France, UK Meteorological Office, Canadian Meteorological Centre, Japan Meteorological Agency, ...) and, until recently, at ECMWF. The latter now has a 'weak constraint' component in its operational system.

Buehner et al. (Mon. Wea.Rev., 2010)

For the same numerical cost, and in meteorologically realistic situations, Ensemble Kalman Filter and Variational Assimilation produce results of similar quality.

Weak constraint variational assimilation allows for errors in the assimilating model

- Data
- Background estimate at time 0

$$
x_{0}{ }^{b}=x_{0}+\zeta_{0}{ }^{b} \quad E\left(\zeta_{0}{ }^{b} \xi_{0}{ }^{b T}\right)=P_{0}{ }^{b}
$$

- Observations at times $k=0, \ldots, K$

$$
y_{k}=H_{k} x_{k}+\varepsilon_{k} \quad E\left(\varepsilon_{k} \varepsilon_{k}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=R_{k}
$$

- Evolution equation

$$
x_{k+1}=M_{k} x_{k}+\eta_{k} \quad E\left(\eta_{k} \eta_{k}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=Q_{k} \quad k=0, \ldots, K-1
$$

Errors assumed to be unbiased and uncorrelated in time, $H_{k}$ and $M_{k}$ linear

Then objective function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right) \rightarrow \\
& \mathcal{I}\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right) \\
& = \\
& (1 / 2)\left(x_{0}{ }^{b}-\xi_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left[P_{0}{ }^{b}\right]^{-1}\left(x_{0}{ }^{b}-\xi_{0}\right) \\
& \quad+(1 / 2) \Sigma_{k=0, \ldots, K}\left[y_{k}-H_{k} \xi_{k}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} R_{k}^{-1}\left[y_{k}-H_{k} \xi_{k}\right] \\
& \quad+(1 / 2) \Sigma_{k=0, \ldots, K-1}\left[\xi_{k+1}-M_{k} \xi_{k}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{k}^{-1}\left[\xi_{k+1}-M_{k} \xi_{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Can include nonlinear $M_{k}$ and/or $H_{k}$.

## Time-correlated Errors

Example of time-correlated observation errors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}=x+\zeta_{1} \\
& z_{2}=x+\zeta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
E\left(\zeta_{1}\right)=E\left(\zeta_{2}\right)=0 \quad ; E\left(\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)=E\left(\zeta_{2}^{2}\right)=s \quad ; \quad E\left(\zeta_{1} \xi_{2}\right)=0
$$

BLUE of $x$ from $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ gives equal weights to $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$.

Additional observation then becomes available

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{3}=x+\zeta_{3} \\
& E\left(\zeta_{3}\right)=0 \quad ; \quad E\left(\zeta_{3}{ }^{2}\right)=s \quad ; \quad E\left(\zeta_{1} \zeta_{3}\right)=c s \quad ; \quad E\left(\zeta_{2} \zeta_{3}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

BLUE of $x$ from $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)$ has weights in the proportion $(1,1+c, 1)$

## Time-correlated Errors (continuation 1)

Example of time-correlated model errors

Evolution equation

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\eta_{k} \quad E\left(\eta_{k}^{2}\right)=q
$$

Observations

$$
y_{k}=x_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}, \quad k=0,1,2 \quad E\left(\varepsilon_{k}^{2}\right)=r, \text { errors uncorrelated in time }
$$

Sequential assimilation. Weights given to $y_{0}$ and $y_{1}$ in analysis at time 1 are in the ratio $r /(r+q)$. That ratio will be conserved in sequential assimilation. All right if model errors are uncorrelated in time.

Assume $E\left(\eta_{0} \eta_{1}\right)=c q$
Weights given to $y_{0}$ and $y_{1}$ in estimation of $x_{2}$ are in the ratio

$$
\rho=\frac{r-q c}{r+q+q c}
$$

Variational assimilation has been extended to non Gaussian probability distributions (lognormal distributions), the unknown being the mode of the conditional distribution (M. Zupanski, Fletcher).

Bayesian character of variational assimilation?

- If everything is linear and gaussian, ready recipe for obtaining bayesian sample

Perturb data (background, observations and model) according to their error probability distributions, do variational assimilation, and repeat process

Sample of system orbits thus obtained is bayesian

- If not, very little can be said at present


## Conclusion on Sequential Assimilation

## Pros

'Natural', and well adapted to many practical situations
Provides, at least relatively easily, explicit estimate of estimation error

Cons
Carries information only forward in time (of no importance
if one is interested only in doing forecast)
In present form, optimality is possible only if errors are independent in time

## Conclusion on Variational Assimilation

## Pros

Carries information both forward and backward in time (important for reassimilation of past data).

Can easily take into account temporal statistical dependence (Järvinen et al.)
Does not require explicit computation of temporal evolution of estimation error
Very well adapted to some specific problems (e.g., identification of tracer sources)

## Cons

Does not readily provide estimate of estimation error
Requires development and maintenance of adjoint codes. But the latter can have other uses (sensitivity studies).

- Dual approach seems most promising. But still needs further development for application in non exactly linear cases.
- Is ensemble variational assimilation possible ? Probably yes. But also needs development.

