

École Doctorale des Sciences de l'Environnement d'Île-de-France  
Année 2008-2009

Modélisation Numérique  
de l'Écoulement Atmosphérique  
et Assimilation d'Observations

Olivier Talagrand  
Cours 6  
18 Juin 2009

## Best Linear Unbiased Estimate

State vector  $x$ , belonging to state space  $\mathcal{S}$  ( $\dim \mathcal{S} = n$ ), to be estimated.

Available data in the form of

- A ‘background’ estimate (e. g. forecast from the past), belonging to state space, with dimension  $n$

$$x^b = x + \zeta^b$$

- An additional set of data (e. g. observations), belonging to observation space, with dimension  $p$

$$y = Hx + \varepsilon$$

$H$  is known linear observation operator.

Assume probability distribution is known for the couple  $(\zeta^b, \varepsilon)$ .

Assume  $E(\zeta^b) = 0$ ,  $E(\varepsilon) = 0$ ,  $E(\zeta^b \varepsilon^T) = 0$  (not restrictive)

Set  $E(\zeta^b \zeta^{bT}) = P^b$  (also often denoted  $B$ ),  $E(\varepsilon \varepsilon^T) = R$

## Best Linear Unbiased Estimate (continuation 1)

$$\mathbf{x}^b = \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\zeta}^b \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad (2)$$

A probability distribution being known for the couple  $(\boldsymbol{\zeta}^b, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ , eqs (1-2) define probability distribution for the couple  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ , with

$$E(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^b, \quad \mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} - E(\mathbf{x}) = -\boldsymbol{\zeta}^b$$

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = H\mathbf{x}^b, \quad \mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{y} - E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}^b = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} - H\boldsymbol{\zeta}^b$$

$\mathbf{d} \equiv \mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}^b$  is called the *innovation vector*.

## Best Linear Unbiased Estimate (continuation 2)

Apply formulæ for Optimal Interpolation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}^a &= \mathbf{x}^b + P^b H^\top [HP^b H^\top + R]^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}^b) \\ P^a &= P^b - P^b H^\top [HP^b H^\top + R]^{-1} HP^b\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{x}^a$  is the *Best Linear Unbiased Estimate (BLUE)* of  $x$  from  $\mathbf{x}^b$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ .



Equivalent set of formulæ

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}^a &= \mathbf{x}^b + P^a H^\top R^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}^b) \\ [P^a]^{-1} &= [P^b]^{-1} + H^\top R^{-1} H\end{aligned}$$

Matrix  $K = P^b H^\top [HP^b H^\top + R]^{-1} = P^a H^\top R^{-1}$  is *gain matrix*.

If probability distributions are *globally* gaussian, *BLUE* achieves bayesian estimation, in the sense that  $P(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x}^b, \mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{x}^a, P^a]$ .





After A. Lorenc

## Best Linear Unbiased Estimate (continuation 3)

$H$  can be any linear operator

Example : (scalar) satellite observation

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \text{ temperature profile}$$

Observation	$y = \sum_i h_i x_i + \varepsilon = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \varepsilon$	, $\mathbf{H} = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$	, $E(\varepsilon^2) = r$
Background	$\mathbf{x}^b = (x_1^b, \dots, x_n^b)^T$	, error covariance matrix	$\mathbf{P}^b = (p_{ij}^b)$

$$\mathbf{x}^a = \mathbf{x}^b + \mathbf{P}^b \mathbf{H}^T [\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}^b \mathbf{H}^T + R]^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}^b)$$

$$[\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}^b \mathbf{H}^T + R]^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}^b) = (y - \sum_i h_i x_i^b) / (\sum_{ij} h_i h_j p_{ij}^b + r)^{-1} \equiv \mu \quad \text{scalar !}$$

–  $\mathbf{P}^b = p^b \mathbf{I}_n$        $x_i^a = x_i^b + p^b h_i \mu$

–  $\mathbf{P}^b = \text{diag}(p_i^b)$      $x_i^a = x_i^b + p_i^b h_i \mu$

– General case     $x_i^a = x_i^b + \sum_j p_{ij}^b h_j \mu$

Each level  $i$  is corrected, not only because of its own contribution to the observation, but because of the contribution of the other levels to which its background error is correlated.

## Best Linear Unbiased Estimate (continuation 4)

Variational form of the *BLUE*

*BLUE*  $x^a$  minimizes following scalar *objective function*, defined on state space

$\xi \in \mathcal{S} \rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} J(\xi) &= (1/2) (x^b - \xi)^T [P^b]^{-1} (x^b - \xi) + (1/2) (y - H\xi)^T R^{-1} (y - H\xi) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_b \quad + \quad \mathcal{J}_o \end{aligned}$$

‘3D-Var’

Can easily, and heuristically, be extended to the case of a nonlinear observation operator  $H$ .

Used operationally in USA, Australia, China, ...

## Question. How to introduce temporal dimension in estimation process ?

- Logic of Optimal Interpolation can be extended to time dimension.
- But we know much more than just temporal correlations. We know explicit dynamics.

Real (unknown) state vector at time  $k$  (in format of assimilating model)  $x_k$ . Belongs to state space  $\mathcal{S}$  ( $\dim \mathcal{S} = n$ )

Evolution equation

$$x_{k+1} = M_k(x_k) + \eta_k$$

$M_k$  is (known) model,  $\eta_k$  is (unknown) model error

## Sequential Assimilation

- Assimilating model is integrated over period of time over which observations are available. Whenever model time reaches an instant at which observations are available, state predicted by the model is updated with new observations.

## Variational Assimilation

- Assimilating model is globally adjusted to observations distributed over observation period. Achieved by minimization of an appropriate scalar *objective function* measuring misfit between data and sequence of model states to be estimated.

- Observation vector at time  $k$

$$y_k = H_k x_k + \varepsilon_k \quad k = 0, \dots, K$$

$$E(\varepsilon_k) = 0 \quad ; \quad E(\varepsilon_k \varepsilon_j^T) = R_k \delta_{kj}$$

$H_k$  linear

- Evolution equation

$$x_{k+1} = M_k x_k + \eta_k \quad k = 0, \dots, K-1$$

$$E(\eta_k) = 0 \quad ; \quad E(\eta_k \eta_j^T) = Q_k \delta_{kj}$$

$M_k$  linear

- $E(\eta_k \varepsilon_j^T) = 0$  (errors uncorrelated in time)

At time  $k$ , background  $x_k^b$  and associated error covariance matrix  $P_k^b$  known

- Analysis step

$$x_k^a = x_k^b + P_k^b H_k^T [H_k P_k^b H_k^T + R_k]^{-1} (y_k - H_k x_k^b)$$

$$P_k^a = P_k^b - P_k^b H_k^T [H_k P_k^b H_k^T + R_k]^{-1} H_k P_k^b$$

- Forecast step

$$x_{k+1}^b = M_k x_k^a$$

$$P_{k+1}^b = E[(x_{k+1}^b - x_{k+1})(x_{k+1}^b - x_{k+1})^T] = E[(M_k x_k^a - M_k x_k - \eta_k)(M_k x_k^a - M_k x_k - \eta_k)^T]$$

$$= M_k E[(x_k^a - x_k)(x_k^a - x_k)^T] M_k^T - E[\eta_k (x_k^a - x_k)^T] - E[(x_k^a - x_k) \eta_k^T] + E[\eta_k \eta_k^T]$$

$$= M_k P_k^a M_k^T + Q_k$$

At time  $k$ , background  $x_k^b$  and associated error covariance matrix  $P_k^b$  known

- Analysis step

$$x_k^a = x_k^b + P_k^b H_k^T [H_k P_k^b H_k^T + R_k]^{-1} (y_k - H_k x_k^b)$$
$$P_k^a = P_k^b - P_k^b H_k^T [H_k P_k^b H_k^T + R_k]^{-1} H_k P_k^b$$

- Forecast step

$$x_{k+1}^b = M_k x_k^a$$
$$P_{k+1}^b = M_k P_k^a M_k^T + Q_k$$

*Kalman filter* (KF, Kalman, 1960)

Must be started from some initial estimate  $(x_0^b, P_0^b)$



If all operators are linear, and if errors are uncorrelated in time, Kalman filter produces at time  $k$  the *BLUE*  $x_k^b$  (resp.  $x_k^a$ ) of the real state  $x_k$  from all data prior to (resp. up to) time  $k$ , plus the associated estimation error covariance matrix  $P_k^b$  (resp.  $P_k^a$ ).

If in addition errors are gaussian, the corresponding conditional probability distributions are the respective gaussian distributions

$$\mathcal{N}[x_k^b, P_k^b] \text{ and } \mathcal{N}[x_k^a, P_k^a].$$

## Nonlinearities ?

Model is usually nonlinear, and observation operators (satellite observations) tend more and more to be nonlinear.

- Analysis step

$$x_k^a = x_k^b + P_k^b H_k'^T [H_k' P_k^b H_k'^T + R_k]^{-1} [y_k - H_k(x_k^b)]$$
$$P_k^a = P_k^b - P_k^b H_k'^T [H_k' P_k^b H_k'^T + R_k]^{-1} H_k' P_k^b$$

- Forecast step

$$x_{k+1}^b = M_k(x_k^a)$$
$$P_{k+1}^b = M_k' P_k^a M_k'^T + Q_k$$

*Extended Kalman Filter* (EKF, heuristic !)


Costliest part of computation

$$P_{k+1}^b = M_k P_k^a M_k^T + Q_k$$


Multiplication by  $M_k$  = one integration of the model between times  $k$  and  $k+1$ .

Computation of  $M_k P_k^a M_k^T \approx 2n$  integrations of the model

Need for determining the temporal evolution of the uncertainty on the state of the system is the major difficulty in assimilation of meteorological and oceanographical observations



Analysis of 500-hPa geopotential for 1 December 1989, 00:00 UTC (ECMWF, spectral truncation T21, unit *m*. After F. Bouttier)



Temporal evolution of the 500-hPa geopotential autocorrelation with respect to point located at 45N, 35W. From top to bottom: initial time, 6- and 24-hour range. Contour interval 0.1. After F. Bouttier.

Two solutions :

- Low-rank filters (Heemink, Pham, ...)  
Reduced Rank Square Root Filters, Singular Evolutive Extended Kalman Filter, ....
- Ensemble filters (Evensen, Anderson, ...)  
Uncertainty is represented, not by a covariance matrix, but by an ensemble of point estimates in state space which are meant to sample the conditional probability distribution for the state of the system (dimension  $N \approx O(10-100)$ ).  
Ensemble is evolved in time through the full model, which eliminates any need for linear hypothesis as to the temporal evolution.